

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 12783 of 2004**

Between:

G.Obul Reddy, s/o G.Gurivi Reddy,  
R/o D.No.26/258, Vasantha Peta, Proddatur, 40 years, Kadapa District.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, (Regional Transport Officer)  
Kadapa-516 001.

**.....RESPONDENT**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent in not releasing the petitioner's vehicle bearing R.No.AP-13-T-483 from the coverage of permit PSP No.13/98 as arbitrary, illegal, malafide and consequently direct the respondent herein viz., The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority (Regional Transport Officer) Kadapa to release the petitioner's vehicle bearing R.No.AP-13-T-483 by issuing clearance certificate forthwith.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.RAJANIKANTH JWALA**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR TRANSPORT**

**The Court made the following :**

**ORDER:**

Petitioner is the owner of a bus. He contends that on 04-12-2001, he made a representation to the respondent requesting the concerned authority to release the vehicle from the coverage of the permit, as the existing vehicle is not fit for use. The petitioner subsequently made several representations including the representations dated 17-11-2003 and 09-02-2004.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent so far did not pass any order on his application. Therefore, he approached this Court through this writ petition seeking to direct the respondent to pass appropriate orders on his representation for release of the vehicle from the coverage of the permit. The petitioner's Counsel further represented that the regular permit expired on 23-04-2003 and he made another application for the renewal of the permit.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that due to the indifference on the part of the respondent in disposing of the representation of the petitioner dated 04-12-2001 the petitioner suffered so much loss. Therefore, the petitioner approached this Court for appropriate orders.

Keeping in view, the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 04-12-2001 regarding his request for release of the vehicle from the coverage of the permit and communicate the same to the petitioner within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

25-10-2004

Note: Furnish copy within two days

B/o

**MRKR**

1.The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority (Regional Transport Officer),  
Kadapa –516001.

2.2CCs to the Government Pleader for Transport, High Court Buildings,  
Hyderabad (OUT)

3.2CD copies.